Use of Healthy Eating Index in Intervention Studies for Cardiometabolic Risk Conditions: A Systematic Review by P. Brauer et al. Online Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1. Comparison of Scoring Components of Healthy Eating Index (HEI) and its Adaptations

	HEI 1995	HEI-C 1995	HEI-C 2005	AHEI 2002	AHEI 2010 a	HEI-2005 ^b	HEI-2010b	HEI-2015 ^b	
Adequacy									
Fruits and fruit subgroups									
Total Fruit - standard	2 to 4 svgs			≥ 4 svgs		≥ 0.8 c/1000 kcal	≥ 0.8 c/1000 kcal	≥ 0.8 c/1000 kcal	
max score	10			10		5	5	5	
Whole fruit			0.8 to 2.1 svgs		≥ 4 svgs (≥2 cups)	≥ 0.4 c/1000 kcal	≥ 0.4 c/1000 kcal	≥ 0.4 c/1000 kcal	
max score			5		10	5	5	5	
Vegetables ar	nd vegetable s	ubgroups							
Total vegetables	3 to 5 svgs			≥ 5 svgs	≥ 5 svgs (≥2.5 cups)	≥ 1.1 c/1000 kcal	≥ 1.1 c/1000 kcal	≥ 1.1 c/1000 kcal	
max score	10			10	10	5	5	5	
Dark Green and orange vegetables and legumes			0.8 to 2.1 svgs			≥ 0.4 c/1000 kcal			
max score			5			5			
Greens and beans							≥ 0.2 c/1000 kcal	≥ 0.2 c/1000 kcal	
max score							5	5	
Total Vegetables and Fruits		5 to 10 svgs	4 to 10 svgs						
max score		20	10						
Grains and gr	ains subgroup	s							

	HEI 1995	HEI-C 1995	HEI-C 2005	AHEI 2002	AHEI 2010 a		HEI-2005 ^b	HEI-2010 ^b	HEI-2015	b
Total grains	6 to 11	5 to 12 svgs	3 to 8 svgs				≥ 3 oz/1000			
	svgs						kcal			
max score	10	10	5				5			
Whole			1.5 to 4	15 g	W: 75 g		≥ 1.5	≥ 1.5	≥ 1.5	
grains			svgs		M: 90 g		oz/1000	oz/1000	oz/1000	
							kcal	kcal	kcal	
max score			5	10		10	5	10		10
Milk / dairy	2 to 3 svgs	2 svgs	2 to 4 svgs				≥ 1.3	≥ 1.3	≥ 1.3	
1							c/1000 kcal	c/1000 kcal	c/1000 kd	cal
max score	10	10	10				10	10		10
Meat and bed	ns and total p	rotein foods a	nd protein sub	groups						
Meat and	2 to 3 svgs	2 to 3 svgs	1 to 3 svgs				≥ 2.5			
beans		_	_				oz/1000			
							kcal			
max score	10	10	10				10			
Total								≥ 2.5	≥ 2.5	
protein								oz/1000	oz/1000	
foods								kcal	kcal	
max score								5		5
Seafood and								≥ 0.8	≥ 0.8	
plant								oz/1000	oz/1000	
proteins								kcal	kcal	
max score								5		5
Nuts and				1 svg	≥ 1oz					
legumes										
max score				10		10				
Red and/or				white:red	≤ 1.5 svg					
processed				0 vs >4	_					
meats										

	HEI 1995	HEI-C 1995	HEI-C 2005	AHEI 2002	AHEI 2010 ^a	HEI-2005 ^b	HEI-2010 ^b	HEI-2015 ^b
max score				10	10			
Oils and fatty	acids subgrou	ps						
Oils			30-45 g			≥ 12g/1000		
			unsaturated			kcal		
max score			10			10		
Fatty acids				PUFA:SFA	PUFA ≤ 2 vs ≥		(PUFA +	(PUFA +
				$\leq 0.1 \text{ vs} \geq 1$	10% energy		MUFA)/SFA	MUFA)/SFA
							≥ 2.5 vs	≥ 2.5 vs
							≤1.2	≤1.2
max score				10	10		10	10
Trans fat				≥ 4% vs ≤	≥ 4% vs ≤0.5%			
				0.5%	energy			
				energy				
max score				10	10			
Omega-3					250 mg			
fats								
max score					10			
Variety	≥ 8 vs ≤ 3 items/d	≥ 1 svg each food						
		group vs not all food						
		groups consumed						
max score	10	10						
Multivitamin				<5 y to ≥5 y				
use				,				
max score				10				
Moderation								

	HEI 1995	HEI-C 1995	HEI-C 2005	AHEI 2002	AHEI 2010 a	HEI-2005 ^b	HEI-2010 ^b	HEI-2015 ^b
Total fat	≤ 30% vs ≥	≤ 30% vs ≥						
	45%	45% energy						
	energy							
max score	10	10						
Saturated	≤ 10% vs ≥	≤10% vs ≥	7 to 15%			≤7 vs ≥15%		≤8 vs ≥16%
Fats -	15%	15% energy	energy			energy		energy
max/min standard	energy							
max score	10	10	10			10		10
Cholesterol	≤ 300 vs ≥	≤ 300 vs ≥						
	450 mg	450 mg						
max score	10	10						
Refined							≤1.8 vs ≥4.3	≤1.8 vs ≥4.3
grains							equiv/1000	equiv/1000
							kcal	kcal
max score							10	10
Sodium	≤ 2.4 vs	≤ 2.4 vs	AI to UL		lowest to	≤0.7 vs	≤1.1 vs ≥2.0	≤1.1 vs ≥2.0
	≥ 4.8 g	≥ 4.8 g			highest decile	≥2.0	g/1000 kcal	g/1000 kcal
						g/1000 kcal		
max score	10	10	10		10	10	10	10
Empty			<5 vs >40%			≤20 vs	≤19% vs	
calories			energy			≥50%	≥50%	
(solid fat,						energy	energy	
alcohol,								
added								
sugar)			20			20		
max score			20			20	20	
Added								≤6.5 vs
sugars								≥26%
								energy

	HEI 1995	HEI-C 1995	HEI-C 2005	AHEI 2002	AHEI 2010 ^a	HEI-2005 ^b	HEI-2010 ^b	HEI-2015 ^b
max score								10
SSB and fruit					< 8 oz			
juice								
max score					10			
Alcohol				W: 0.5-1.5	W: 0.5-1.5 vs ≥			
				vs ≥ 2.5	2.5 drinks			
				drinks	M: 0.5-2 vs ≥			
				M: 0.5-2 vs	3.5 drinks			
				≥ 3.5 drinks				
max score				10	10			
Total score	100	100	100	90	110	100	100	100

Notes: PUFA = polyunsaturated fatty acid, SFA = saturated fatty acid, MUFA = monounsaturated fatty acid

^aWang DD, Leung CW, Li Y, Ding EL, Chiuve SE, Hu FB, Willett WC. Trends in dietary quality among adults in the United States, 1999 through 2010. JAMA Intern Med. 2014 Oct;174(10):1587-95. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.3422. PMID: 25179639; PMCID: PMC5924699.

^bNational Cancer Institute. Developing the Healthy Eating Index; 2020 (cited 2021 May 4). Available from: https://epi.grants.cancer.gov/hei/developing.html

Supplementary Table 2. Search strategy for PubMed and CINAHL

Date of search: January 5, 2020

Searched from: January 1, 1995 to December 31, 2019

PubMed Search

	Box 1 (intervention)	Box 2	(diet	Box 3 (Conditions)
		measu		
Text	Behavioral intervention	1.	Alternative	Diabetes
	Behavioural intervention		healthy	Type 2 diabetes
	Diet intervention		eating index	Prediabetes
	Dietary intervention	2.	Diet	Pre-diabetes
	Diet education		assessment	Metabolic syndrome
	Dietary education	3.	Dietary	Cardiovascular risk
	Diet counselling		assessment	Cardio-metabolic risk
	Diet counseling	4.	Diet quality	Cardiometabolic risk
	Dietary counseling	5.	Diet quality	
	Dietary counselling		index	
	Diet advice	6.	Diet score	
	Dietary advice	7.	Healthy	
	Lifestyle intervention		eating index	
	Lifestyle counseling			
	Lifestyle counselling			
	Lifestyle modification			
	Lifestyle program			
	Nutrition advice			
	Nutrition counselling			
	Nutrition counseling			
	Nutrition intervention			
	Nutrition education			
MeSH	Diet therapy (M) [Therapy,			Overweight (M)
	Diet			Body weight changes (M)
	Diet Therapies			Body weight (M)
	Therapies, Diet			Diabetes mellitus (M)
	Dietary Modification			Chronic disease (M)
	Dietary Modifications			Dyslipidemias (M)
	Modification, Dietary			Hypertension (M)
	Modifications, Dietary			Coronary disease (M)
	Diet Modification			Cardiovascular disease
	Diet Modifications			(M)

Modification, Diet	Metabolic syndrome X
Modifications, Diet]	(M)
	Body mass index (M)
Medical Nutrition therapy	Prediabetic State (M)
(M)	
[Therapy, Nutrition	
Medical Nutrition Therapy	
Nutrition Therapy, Medical	
Therapy, Medical Nutrition]	
Patient education (M)	
[Education, Patient	
Patient Education	
Education of Patients]	
Health education (M)	
[Education, Health	
Community Health Education	
Education, Community Health	
Health Education,	
Community]	
·	

^{*(}M) = Medical Subject Heading term

CINAHL Search

	Box 1 (intervention)	Box 2 (diet measures)	Box 3 (Conditions)
Text	Behavioral intervention	1. Alternative	Diabetes
	Behavioural intervention	healthy eating	Type 2 diabetes
	Diet intervention	index	Prediabetes
	Dietary intervention	2. Diet assessment	Pre-diabetes
	Diet education	3. Dietary	Metabolic syndrome
	Dietary education	assessment	Cardiovascular risk
	Diet counselling	4. Diet quality	Cardio-metabolic risk
	Diet counseling	5. Diet quality index	Cardiometabolic risk
	Dietary counseling	6. Diet score	
	Dietary counselling	7. Healthy eating	
	Diet advice	index	
	Dietary advice		
	Lifestyle intervention		
	Lifestyle counseling		
	Lifestyle counselling		
	Lifestyle modification		
	Lifestyle program		
	Nutrition counselling		
	Nutrition counseling		
	Nutrition intervention		
	Nutrition education		
Subject	Diet therapy		Overweight (M)
Terms			Body weight changes (M)
	Nutrition therapy		Body weight (M)
			Diabetes mellitus (M)
	Patient education		Chronic disease (M)
			Dyslipidemias (M)
	Health education		Hypertension (M)
			Coronary disease (M)
			Cardiovascular disease
			(M)
			Metabolic syndrome X
			(M)
			Body mass index (M)
			Prediabetic State (M)

Supplementary Table 3. Quality Assessment of Included Studies

A. Quality assessment using Cochrane risk of bias tool for randomized studies

	random sequence generation	allocation concealment	blinding of participants and personnel	blinding of outcome assessment	incomplete outcome data	selective reporting	other sources of bias
Hedrick et al.							
(2017)	L	L	Н	Н	L	L	L
Keogh et al. (2014)	L	U	Н	L	Н	U	н
Lewis et al.							
(2015)	U	L	Н	U	L	L	L
Lin et al. (2013)	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Lynch et al.							
(2019)	U	U	Н	L	L	L	L
Mohammadshahi							
et al. (2014)	L	L	Н	Н	L	L	L
Njike et al.		U	Н	Н		U	н
(2016) Ortega et al.	L	U	П	П	L	U	П
(2006)	L	U	L	L	L	U	Н
Petrogianni et al. (2013)	U	U	Н	L	L	L	Н
Stolley et al.					_		
(2009)	L	U	Н	L	L	L	L
Swoboda et al.							
(2017)	L	L	Н	U	U	U	Н
Turner-McGrievy							
et al. (2008)	L	U	L	L	L	L	Н
Ventura Marra et							
al. (2019)	U	Н	U	L	L	L	L
Webel et al.			U	1		Н	
(2018)	L			L	L		L
Ma et al. (2015)	L	L 1.1.1.	L	L	L	L	L

Notes: L = low, U = unclear, H = high

B. Quality Assessment using ROBINS-1 for nonrandomized studies

	Overall	Confoun-	Selection	Classifi- cation	Devia- tions	Missing data	Measure- ment of outcomes	Selection of Reported Result
Arnold et								
al. (2018)	Serious	Serious	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate
Asaad et al. (2016)	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	Low
Carbonneau et al. (2017)	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Chang et al. (2019)	Serious	Serious	Serious	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Jeejeebhoy et al. (2017)	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Landry et al. (2017)	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low
Marques- Rocha et al.								
(2016)	Serious	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Serious
Ptomey et								
al. (2016)	Critical	Low	Critical	Low	Low	Serious	Moderate	Low
Thomson et								
al. (2015)	Moderate	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Moderate	Low
Webber and								
Lee (2011)	Serious	Serious	Low	Serious	Moderate	Moderate	Serious	Moderate